

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,844	03/31/2004	Sridhar Varadarajan	4544-043813	6067
Richard L. By	7590 10/30/200	8	EXAM	IINER
700 Koppers Building			MANSFIELD, THOMAS L	
436 Seventh A Pittsburgh, PA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/813,844	VARADARAJAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
THOMAS MANSFIELD	3624	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
- earned extent term adjustment. See 37 CED 1 704/b)

eam	ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>31 March 2004</u> .	
2a)□	This action is FINAL.	2b)☐ This action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition	for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims		

4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in	the application.
	4a) Of the above claim(s)	_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected	
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected	i to.

8) Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on ______ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:

Application Papers

1.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
3.	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information-Disclessure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/DE) Paper No(s)Mail Date Pager No(s)Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Affication 6) Other:	
C. Datastand Tondamont Office		

Application/Control Number: 10/813,844 Page 2

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

 Claims 1-13, drawn to a system for skill management of a plurality of knowledge workers in a software industry, classified in class 705, subclass

9.

II. Claims 14-15, drawn to a network based system for skill management,

classified in class 709, subclass 249.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together

in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap

in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one

subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination I has

separate utility such as a skill assessment subsystem for assessing skills of said

plurality of knowledge workers. Subcombination II has separate utility as a

central skill manager for managing interconnection of said plurality of local skill

management systems and does not require the skill matching subsystem of

subcombination I. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together.

Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently

Application/Control Number: 10/813,844

Art Unit: 3624

found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the

Page 3

limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in

accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised

that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated

by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present

by, of includes an the limitations of, a dain that is anowable in the present

application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or

nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant

application.

3. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these

inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given

above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction

were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

(a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their

different classification;

(b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their

recognized divergent subject matter;

(c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching

different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different

search queries);

(d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to

another invention:

Application/Control Number: 10/813,844

Art Unit: 3624

(e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,844

Art Unit: 3624

4. A telephone call was made to William Logsdon, Applicants' Representative, on 23 October 2008 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to THOMAS MANSFIELD whose telephone number is (571)270-1904. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:30 am-6 pm, alt. Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bradley Bayat can be reached on 571-272-6704. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/T. M./ Examiner, Art Unit 3624 Application/Control Number: 10/813,844 Page 6

Art Unit: 3624

28 October 2008 Thomas Mansfield

/Bradley B Bayat/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3624